

**POLICY MEMO | POLITICS** 

## The Palestinian Security Sector: Entrenching State Repression

By: Alaa Tartir · November, 2021

In October 2021, the Palestinian Civil Society Team for Enhancing Public Budget Transparency revealed that the Palestinian Authority's (PA) security sector continues to receive the <u>largest portion</u> of the PA's budget. During the first half of 2021, more than 50 million Israeli shekels (~\$16 million) were spent on the reform of the PA security forces (PASF). The PASF also received 1,675 million shekels (~\$538 million) – over 22% of the PA's total budget – of which 88% were allocated to salaries; this was an increase of 115 million shekels (~\$37 million) in comparison with the first six months of 2020.<sup>1</sup>

These figures indicate the stark divide between the needs of the Palestinian people and the PA's priorities. While Palestinians seek to end the <u>oppressive</u> security framework imposed by the Oslo Accords, the PA <u>continues to invest</u> politically, financially, and institutionally in the status quo, entrenching the security framework under the pretext of stability and state-building.

Rather than a process of democratization, inclusiveness, and accountability, the PA's internationally-sponsored security reform processes – which have been the lynchpin of the PA's post-2007 state-building project – have resulted in repression, persecution, and the <u>professionalization of Palestinian authoritarianism</u>. Thus, structural authoritarianism is imbedded in the Palestinian political system.

## **Repression and Social Deterioration**



Following the killing of activist and PA critic Nizar Banat in June 2021, the PASF cracked down on peaceful protests with unlawful force, targeting journalists, civil society activists, and lawyers with arbitrary arrests and torture. The level of repression observed during the summer of 2021 was unprecedented, and its complexity was apparent: it indicated the steady convergence of the legal, political, security, and economic institutions of the PA. Converging to repress more effectively is a concerning development, and unless it is countered with peopledriven accountability mechanisms, authoritarian aggression will intensify and democratic transition will be denied.

Consolidating power in the security sector continues to be a key objective of the PA. The goal of the 2007 PASF campaigns was to "cleanse" the West Bank of non-PA weapons, conduct a disarmament process, arrest those who challenged the PA's authority, and send a clear message to Palestinians that the PA was the sole governing structure and power. Hence, the PA adopted a "blanket approach" to confiscate arms, and intentionally blurred the lines between "weapons of anarchy" and those of "armed resistance." This meant that criminals and resistance fighters were conflated and similarly targeted. As a resident of Balata refugee camp\_derisively asked: "How can a thief be held in the same jail cell as a muqawim (freedom fighter)?"

The ramifications of security sector reform (SSR) processes take time to manifest socially, and in Palestine, they are now becoming clear. The 2007 security campaigns, ironically dubbed as "Smile and Hope," and the ongoing reform process that ensued created profound structural problems and deficiencies that only entrenched a culture of fear, tamed and criminalized resistance, and deepened the distrust Palestinians feel towards their leadership.

Indeed, the torture and killing of political opponents, arbitrary arrest of critics in inhumane conditions, increased levels of surveillance, and decreased levels of



tolerance and plurality, are key ingredients for deterioration in Palestinian society. Further securitization of social spaces will disempower the Palestinian people, entrench their fragmentation, and weaken their ability to effectively resist colonial and oppressive structures.

## **Rethinking Security Sector Governance**

Rethinking Palestinian security sector governance whereby the Palestinian people are prioritized must be part of any serious and comprehensive national dialogue. The consolidation of power, as opposed to inclusivity and accountability, has meant that the PASF are more accountable to donors and the Israeli regime than to the Palestinian people. Reversing this is a critical entry point to SSR. In order to do so:

- Palestinian civil society and leadership must commit to engage in an
  inclusive, genuine, and comprehensive national dialogue. Revisiting the
  Palestinian national program from a security sector governance lens could
  serve multiple purposes, as it necessitates debating resistance strategies,
  the nature of the governance structures, and accountability mechanisms.
- Palestinian political factions and civil society must demand that the PA redistribute its budget equitably, including in productive economic sectors, to bring an end to the inflated budget of the PA security establishment.
- Palestinian civil society must pressure the PA to implement the Palestine Liberation Organization's decision to stop security coordination with Israel, which it has <u>failed to do</u> despite its claims.
- Palestinian civil society and leadership must adopt a united resistance strategy, including with regards to armed resistance, to avoid the instrumentalization of arms and weapons in internal fighting by political factions, especially in times of power transitions and leadership vacuums.



1.	To read this piece in French or in Italian please <u>click here</u> or <u>here</u> , respectively. Al-Shabaka is grateful
	for the efforts by human rights advocates to translate its pieces, but is not responsible for any
	change in meaning.

Al-Shabaka: The Palestinian Policy Network, is an independent, non-profit organization. Al-Shabaka convenes a multidisciplinary, global network of Palestinian analysts to produce critical policy analysis and collectively imagine a new policymaking paradigm for Palestine and Palestinians worldwide.

Al-Shabaka materials may be circulated with due attribution to Al-Shabaka: The Palestinian Policy Network. The opinion of individual members of Al-Shabaka's policy network do not necessarily reflect the views of the organization as a whole.